

## **ELECTRON PARAMAGNETIC RESONANCE INVESTIGATIONS OF Fe3+ DOPED LAYERED TIInS2 AND TIGaSe2 SINGLE CRYSTALS**

l,

# **FAIK MIKAILOV A,B , BULAT RAMEEV A,C, SINAN KAZAN A, BEKIR AKTAŞ<sup>A</sup>**

*a Department of Physics, Gebze Institute of Technology, Gebze, 41400, Kocaeli, Turkey b Institute of Physics, Azerbaijan Academy of Sciences, 370143, Baku, Azerbaijan. e-mail: faik@gyte.edu.tr; web: www.gyte.edu.tr c Kazan Physical-Technical Institute, 10/7, Sibirsky Trakt, 420029 Kazan, Russia* 

TlInS2 and TlGaSe2 single crystals doped by paramagnetic Fe ions have been studied at room temperature by Electron Paramagnetic Resonance (EPR) technique. A fine structure of EPR spectra of paramagnetic  $Fe<sup>3+</sup>$  ions was observed. The spectra were interpreted to correspond to the transitions among spin multiplet  $(S=5/2, L=0)$  of  $Fe^{3+}$  ion, which are splitted by the local ligand crystal field (CF) of orthorhombic symmetry. Four equivalent  $Fe<sup>3+</sup>$  centers have been observed in the EPR spectra and the local symmetry of crystal field at the  $Fe<sup>3+</sup>$  site and CF parameters were determined. It was established that the symmetry axis of the axial component in the CF is making an angle of about 48 and 43 degree with the plane of layers of TlInS<sub>2</sub> and TlGaSe<sub>2</sub> crystals respectively. Experimental results indicate that the Fe ions substitute In (Ga) at the center of  $InS<sub>4</sub>$  (GaSe<sub>4</sub>) tetrahedrons, and the rhombic distortion of the CF is caused by the Tl ions located in the trigonal cavities between the tetrahedral complexes.

### **INTRODUCTION**

In recent years there is a growing interest in the ternary layered chalcogenide compounds with a general formula  $TI^+(M^{3+}X_2)$ , where M=In, Ga and X=S, Se, due to the coexistence of ferroelectric and semiconductor properties, as well as the presence of structural phase transformations in these crystals.  $T\text{IInS}_2$  and  $T\text{IGaSe}_2$  belong to a group of ternary layered semiconductors with space group symmetry  $C_{2h}^6$  at room temperature. Structural anisotropy [1,2], successive incommensurate and commensurate phase transitions [3-11], good optical and photoconductivity properties [12] of these compounds made them attractive for researchers and stimulated more detailed investigations of its physical properties using various experimental methods.

According to X-ray diffraction measurements [1,2], the crystal structure of  $TIInS<sub>2</sub>$  and  $TIGaSe<sub>2</sub>$  consists of alternating two-dimensional metal-chalcogen layers. The layers are composed of  $T\text{IInS}_2$  and  $Ga_4Se_{10}$  tetrahedron complexes, which represent a combination of four elementary  $MX_4$  tetrahedrons and are linked together by common chalcogen atoms at the corners (Fig.1). The elementary unit cell contains two partially disordered layers,

containing successive rows of the tetrahedron complexes, which are turned away from each other by  $90^\circ$ . Monovalent Tl atoms are in trigonal prismatic cavities resulting from the combination of the  $M_4X_{10}$  tetrahedron into a layer. As one can see from the figure, each successive lower layer is shifted along the [010] direction by the length of the edge of the small  $MeX<sub>4</sub>$  tetrahedron with respect to the upper layer. As a result, a deviation from the tetragonal symmetry appears. The angle between the monoclinic *c* angle and the layer plane is about  $100^{\circ}$ .

. More than two decades have passed since the first publication about the presence of structural phase transitions in ternary layered chalcogenide crystals  $TIInS<sub>2</sub>$ and  $TIGaSe<sub>2</sub>$  [3-5]. Since that time these compounds were investigated by using a great number of experimental methods. It has been established that,  $TIInS<sub>2</sub>$  and  $TIGaSe<sub>2</sub>$ exhibit a sequence of structural phase transitions, including transitions to an incommensurate (IC) and commensurate (C) phases on lowering the temperature. Neutron scattering [6] and also X-ray studies [7,8] have shown that the lowtemperature ferroelectric phase has a fourfoldcommensurate structure and the satellite reflections for the

incommensurate phase are observed at  $\mathbf{q}_i(\delta, \delta, 0.25)$ . However, one cannot identify the active group responsible for the mentioned succession of the phase transitions in  $TIInS<sub>2</sub>$  and  $TIGaSe<sub>2</sub>$  using these experimental methods. In spite of a number of experimental results, there was no information about active atomic groups, possible atomic displacements causing the dipole ordering, and the local symmetry changes during the low temperature phase transformations in this compound.



Fig.1. Crystal structure of TlInS<sub>2</sub> composed of  $InS<sub>4</sub>$ tetrahedrons:  $\bullet$  - Tl ions;  $\bullet$  - In ions;  $\bullet$  - S ions.

Electron Paramagnetic Resonance (EPR) is a wellestablished method for the direct identification of both the local environment around a substitutional magnetic ion site and the active group for a structural transformation in crystals. EPR experiments utilize paramagnetic probes incorporated into crystal lattice to obtain information about a local environment around a substitutional magnetic ion sites as well as the possible structural changes in their surroundings. The trivalent iron substituted into various crystal structures is known to be a very useful paramagnetic probe providing rich information about the CF, and has been successfully used in a great number of EPR studies of various systems.

In the present work the results of detailed room temperature EPR investigations of  $TIInS<sub>2</sub>$  compound doped by paramagnetic  $Fe<sup>3+</sup>$  ions substituted at In sites as local probes of the ligand CF has been presented. The results of the low temperature EPR investigations of  $Fe<sup>3+</sup>$  doped  $T\text{IInS}_2$  crystals will be reported in a separate paper.

### **EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS**

 $TIInS<sub>2</sub>$  and  $TIGaSe<sub>2</sub>$  single crystals were grown in evacuated quartz tubes by using the modified Bridgman method. For EPR experiments, iron was added to the growth mixture in amounts corresponding to a molar ratio  $Fe^{3+}/In^{3+}$  of about 0.7% and  $Fe^{3+}/Ga^{3+}$  of about 2%. The crystals were easily cleaved into the plane-parallel plates along the (001) basal plane, which is parallel to the layers. The morphology of the crystal permits to perform this operation. The quality of the samples and orientation of the crystal planes were controlled by X-ray diffraction measurements.

The EPR spectra were recorded by using Bruker EMX X-band spectrometer (9.8 GHz). The static magnetic field was varied in the range 0-16000 G. The field derivative of microwave power absorption (*dP/dH*) was registered as a function of the static magnetic field (*H*). The angular dependences of EPR spectra were obtained for different orientations of the static magnetic field with respect to the crystalline axes.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Multiline EPR spectra of Fe doped  $TIInS<sub>2</sub>$  single crystals have been observed at room temperature. The EPR spectra were strongly anisotropic with the resonance fields of the components up to 16 kG. The typical EPR spectra observed on rotation of the static magnetic field in the plane (001) of the plate-like sample ("in-plane" orientation) and in (100) plane ("out-of-plane" orientation) are presented in Fig. 2.



Fig.2. EPR spectra of Fe doped  $TlInS<sub>2</sub>$  observed for different orientations of the static magnetic field (*H*) on rotating the sample: a) in (001) plane (layer plane); b) in  $(010)$  plane.

Angular dependences of the resonance fields of various EPR lines are shown in Figs. 3 and 4. Fig. 3 shows the angular dependence of the resonance fields observed on rotation of the static magnetic field in the plane of the platelike sample ("in-plane" orientation), while Fig. 3 presents the identical angular dependences on rotation of the sample in two (100) and (010) planes which are perpendicular to the layer plane ("out-of-plane" orientations).



Fig.3. The observed and fitted rotation patterns of the resonant field values for different orientations of the static magnetic field  $(H)$  in the  $(001)$  plane (in-plane orientation). Full curves are calculated using the spin-Hamiltonian parameters listed in the table.

A strong anisotropy of the EPR lines as well as the fact of their registration even at room temperature unambiguously indicates that the observed EPR spectra is due to the trivalent paramagnetic Fe ions located at the central positions of  $InS<sub>4</sub>$  tetrahedrons in the TlInS<sub>2</sub> crystal lattice. The rotation patterns, presented in Figs. 3 and 4, show that the EPR spectra originate from different magnetically equivalent  $Fe<sup>3+</sup>$  centers. The rotational pattern observed on applying the static magnetic field in the plane of layers (Fig. 3) revealed a presence of at least two magnetically equivalent centers with symmetry axes nearly perpendicular to earch other. The rotational patterns of the two out-of-plane rotation planes (Figs. 4) allow to make a conclusion about the presence of four centers: two centers with symmetry axes in the (100) plane and nearly perpendicular to earch other, and the other ones demonstrating the same symmetry in the (010) plane. The angles between the symmetry directions of the latter centers and the layer plane are about  $48^\circ$ .

Multiline EPR spectra have been observed at room temperature also for Fe- doped  $TIGaSe<sub>2</sub>$  single crystal. The EPR spectra were strongly anisotropic with the resonance fields of the components up to 16 kG. The typical EPR spectra observed on rotation of the static magnetic field in the plane (001) of the plate-like sample ("in-plane" orientation) and in (100) plane ("out-of-plane" orientation) are presented in Fig. 5. Angular dependences of the resonance fields of various EPR lines are shown in Figs. 6- 8. Fig. 6 shows the angular dependence of the resonance fields observed on rotation of the static magnetic field in the plane of the plate-like sample ("in-plane" orientation), while Figs. 7 and 8 present the angular dependences on rotation of the sample in the (100) and (010) planes which are perpendicular to the  $GaSe<sub>4</sub>$  layers ("out-of-plane" orientations).



Fig.4. The observed and fitted rotation patterns of the resonant field values for different orientations of the static magnetic field  $(H)$  in  $(100)$  and  $(010)$  planes (out-of-plane orientations). Full curves are calculated using the spin-Hamiltonian parameters listed in the table.



Fig.5. EPR spectra of Fe doped  $TlGaSe<sub>2</sub>$  observed for different orientations of the static magnetic field (*H*) on rotating the sample: a) in (100) plane (layer plane); b) in  $(010)$  plane.

The strong anisotropy of the EPR lines at room temperature indicates unambiguously that the spectra are due to the trivalent paramagnetic Fe ions in positions of the TlGaSe<sub>2</sub> crystal lattice. The rotation patterns, presented in Figs. 6-8, show that the EPR spectra originate from different but structurally equivalent  $Fe<sup>3+</sup>$  centers. The rotational pattern observed on applying the static magnetic field in the plane of layers (Fig. 6) revealed a presence of at least two structuraly equivalent centers with symmetry axes nearly perpendicular to earch other. The rotational patterns of the two out-of-plane rotation planes (Figs. 7 and 8) allow to make a conclusion about the presence of four centers: two centers with symmetry axes in the (100) plane and nearly perpendicular to earch other, and the other ones demonstrating the same symmetry in the (010) plane. The angles between the symmetry directions of all the four centers and the layer plane are about  $43^\circ$ .



Fig.6 The observed and fitted rotation patterns of the resonant field values for different orientations of the static magnetic field (*H*) in (100) plane (in-plane orientation). Full curves are calculated using the spin-Hamiltonian parameters listed in the table

To obtain parameters of CF at the  $Fe<sup>3+</sup>$  ions a special computer program has been developed to simulate digitally the angular dependences of the resonance fields at an arbitrary rotation plane. According to the CF theory [13,14], the crystalline electric field at a positive ion site has a dominant component arising from an array of surrounding negative charges (ligands). The degeneracy of spin multiplet of the S-state Fe<sup>3+</sup> ion (S=5/2, L=0) is removed due to the crystal field. Using the equivalent Stevens operators, the energy level splitting of  $Fe<sup>3+</sup>$  ion can be described by the following spin-Hamiltonian:

$$
H = HZ + HCF = bBgS + \sum_{m=0}^{r} B_2^m O_2^m + \sum_{m=0}^{4} B_4^m O_4^m \quad (1)
$$

where  $S = 5/2$  is the electronic spin and *b* is Bohr magneton. The first term  $H<sub>Z</sub>$  accounts for the Zeeman interaction, the second term  $H_{CF}$  is the crystal field Hamiltonian. The Stevens operators  $O_4^m$ are defined according to Abragam and Bleaney [10]. Taking into account the local symmetry at the  $Fe<sup>3+</sup>$  site to be cubic with a rhombic distortion, we can obtain the following expression for the crystal field Hamiltonian:

$$
H_{CF} = B_4 (O_4^0 + 5O_4^4) + B_2^0 O_2^0 ++ B_4^0 O_4^0 + B_2^2 O_2^2 + B_4^2 O_4^2
$$
 (2)

Using the crystal field in the form (2), computer simulations of the EPR spectra of the  $Fe^{3+}$  centres in TlInS<sub>2</sub> and TlGaSe<sub>2</sub> crystals were performed and the spin Hamiltonian parameters were determined. As it is mentioned above, four magnetically equivalent  $Fe<sup>3+</sup>$  ions were assumed and the excellent agreement between experimental and modelled values of the resonant fields has been obtained (Figs. 3,4,6-8).

It was established that there is a very strong crystal field of orthorhombic symmetry at the  $Fe<sup>3+</sup>$  ion positions in the TlM $X_2$  structure. The evaluated parameters, which were then used to fit the observed rotation patterns, are listed in the table.



Fig.7. The observed and fitted rotation patterns of the resonant field values for different orientations of the static magnetic field (*H*) in (010) plane (out-of-plane orientation). Full curves are calculated using the spin-Hamiltonian parameters listed in the table.



These results indicate that the paramagnetic probes  $Fe<sup>3+</sup>$ substitute for In<sup>3+</sup> and Ga<sup>3+</sup> at the central positions of InS<sub>4</sub> tetrahedrons. It is known that perfect  $MX_4$  tetrahedron has a cubic symmetry of the nearest-neighbour ligands (S) at the In site. Therefore, the orthorhombic symmetry of the crystal field can be caused by the influence of the Tl atoms located in the trigonal cavities between the tetrahedral complexes. Fig. 9 shows the Tl position in the trigonal cavities between  $MX<sub>4</sub>$  tetrahedrons. It is known [15] that in the layeredchained TlMX<sub>2</sub> (M=In, Ga; X=S, Se) systems there is an essential hybridisation of electron wave functions of univalent (Tl) and trivalent (M) ions.

As seen from the figure, in the  $T$ IM $X_2$  structure there are two  $M^{3+}$  ions in the centers of the two closest  $MX_4$ tetrahedrons, which are the nearest (about  $3.9\text{\AA}$ ) to the  $\text{TI}^+$ ion. There are the other four M ions, which are located approximately in the same plane with distances essentially larger (4.35 Å). Therefore, the experimentally-observed orientation of the principal axes of the crystal field D-tensor (*i.e.*  $B_2^0$ ,  $B_2^2$  components) with z axis making an appropriate angle  $(48^0 \text{ and } 43^0 \text{ for TllnS}_2 \text{ and } T1GaSe_2)$ respectively) with the layer plane agree well with the direction of Tl-M bond, reported in the literature [16].

Besides, there is another row of Tl ions in the crystal structure of  $T\text{IM}X_2$ , which is directed perpendicularly to the row shown in Fig. 9 and placed near lower tetrahedrons. In this case, the effect of  $TI<sup>+</sup>$  ions, shown in the figure, results in the axial component of the CF on the two nearest  $M^{3+}$ ions (with the symmetry axis at  $48^{\circ}$  and  $43^{\circ}$ ), while the overall effect of the perpendicular chains of the lower Tl ions provides the rhombic term in the CF in the direction perpendicular to the figure plane.



Fig.8 The observed and fitted rotation patterns of the resonant field values for for different orientations of the static magnetic field (*H*) in (001) plane (second out-of-plane orientation). Full curves are calculated using the spin-Hamiltonian parameters listed in the table.

As it is seen from Figs. 7 and 8, there is a slight asymmetry in the rotational patterns of the both abovementioned sets of the Fe centers. The results of simulations show that this asymmetry may be attributed to a slight misalignment  $(\sim 5$  degree) of the rotational plane with the crystalline (100) or (010) planes. Such misalignment brings to the asymmetry observed in Figs. 3 and 4 and the theoretical curves fit to the experimental data under the appropriate choice of the rotational plane in the simulations.

As it is mentioned above, the unit cell contains two layers containing successive rows of the tetrahedrons. In this frame we can consider the EPR spectra as originating from the  $Fe<sup>3+</sup>$  centers located in different layers, which turned away from each other by  $90^\circ$ . Moreover, observed broadening of linewidth of EPR lines from  $Fe<sup>3+</sup>$ , which can

- [1]. W.Henkel, H.D.Hochheimer, C.Carlone, A.Werner, S.Ves and H.G.v.Schnering, Phys Rev., B26, 3211 (1982)
- [2]. D.Müller and H.Hahn, Z. anorg. allg. Chem., 438, 258 (1978)
- [3]. A.A.Volkov, Yu.G.Goncharov, G.V.Kozlov, K.R.Allakhverdiev and R.M.Sardarly, Phys.Solid State 25 (1983) 2061.
- [4]. R.A.Aliev, K.R.Allakhverdiev, A.I.Baranov, N.R.Ivanov, R.M.Sardarly, Sov. Phys. Solid State, 26, 775 (1984)
- [5]. A.A.Volkov, Yu.G.Goncharov, G.V.Kozlov, S.P.Lebedev, A.M.Prokhorov, R.A.Aliev and K.R.Allakhverdiev, JETP Letters, 37, 517 (1984)
- [6]. S.B.Vakhrushev, V.V.Zhdanova, B.E.Kvyatkovsky, V.N.Okuneva, K.R.Allakhverdiev, R.A.Aliev and R.M.Sardarly, JETP Lett., 39, 292 (1984)
- [7]. D.F.McMorrow, R.A. Cowley, P.D.Hatton and J. Banys, J.Phys: Condens. Matter 2, 3699-3702 (1990)
- [8]. S.Kashida and Y.Kobayashi, Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter 11 (1999) 1027.

be seen from the typical EPR spectra (Figs.2 and 5), can be interpreted by taking into account the presence of two different structural positions of Tl ions in each of thallium rows, as well as two structurally different types of tetrahedrons in each of polyhedron complexes. Thus, there are four possible structural positions of Tl ions in the TlMX<sub>2</sub> lattice that provide four equivalent  $Fe<sup>3+</sup>$  centers in EPR spectra. Both the symmetry of CF and number of  $Fe<sup>3+</sup>$ centers observed in experiment agree well with our model.



Fig.9. The locations of Tl atoms and  $Fe<sup>3+</sup>$  centers in the crystal structure of TlInS<sub>2</sub>.  $\bullet$  - Tl ions;  $\bullet$  - Fe<sup>3+</sup> centers:  $\bullet$  - S ions.

#### **CONCLUSION**

EPR of paramagnetic  $Fe<sup>3+</sup>$  ions in the ternary layered compounds  $TIInS<sub>2</sub>$  and  $TIGaSe<sub>2</sub>$  has been studied. The spectra were interpreted to correspond to the transitions among spin multiplet of  $Fe<sup>3+</sup>$  ions located at the center of the MX4 tetrahedra formed by chalcogen atoms. The orthorhombic symmetry of the crystal field  $(CF)$  at the  $Fe<sup>3+</sup>$ site was determined. According to the results, the resonance lines originate from the contributions of four structurally equivalent two different types of  $Fe<sup>3+</sup>$  centers localized at different tetrahedron arrays of the crystal structure. The orthorhombic CF symmetry is assumed to originate from Tl ions located in the trigonal cavities between the tetrahedral complexes.

- [9]. R.A.Aliev, K.R.Allakhverdiev, A.I.Baranov, N.R.Ivanov, R.M.Sardarly, Sov. Phys. Solid State, 26, 775 (1984)
- [10]. F.M.Salaev, K.R.Allakhverdiev and F.A.Mikailov, Ferroelectrics, 131, 163 (1992)
- [11]. K.R.Allakhverdiev, N.Turetken, F.M.Salaev, F.A.Mikailov, Solid State Comm., 96, 827 (1995)
- [12]. J.A.Kalomiros, N.Kalkan, M.Hanias, A.N.Anagnostopoulos and K.Kambas, Solid State Communications, 96, 601 (1995)
- [13]. A.Abragam and B.Bleaney, Electron Paramagnetic Resonance of Transition Ions, Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1970
- [14]. C.P.Poole and H.A.Farach, Theory of Magnetic Resonance, John Wiley and Sons, 1987
- [15]. N.K.Moroz, N.T.Mamedov, S.P.Gabuda, Phys. Solid State, 31, 250 (1989)
- [16]. A.M.Panich, S.P.Gabuda, N.T.Mamedov, S.N.Aliev, Phys. Solid State, 29, 3694 (1987)