MINIWORKSHOP ON MACHINE AND PHYSICS ASPECTS OF CLIC BASED FUTURE COLLIDER OPTIONS 30 August 2004, PS Auditorium, CERN

General Remarks on the Linac-Ring Type Lepton-Hadron and Photon-Hadron Colliders

Saleh Sultansoy Gazi University, Ankara & Institute of Physics, Baku

Introduction
Brief history
QCD Explorer
Second Way to TeV scale
Conclusion

## **Particle Colliders**

## **Colliding Beams:**

- Hadron Colliders
- Lepton Colliders
- Lepton-Hadron Colliders

### Accelerator Types:

Ring-Ring → Hadron energy frontiers (also, µµ?, µp??)
 Linac-Linac → Lepton energy frontiers
 Linac-Ring → Lepton-hadron energy frontiers

Energy frontiers mean TeV scale at constituent level

## **Lepton-Hadron Colliders**

Ring-Ring type: HERA\*, e-RHIC\* (EPIC\* etc), <u>"LEP"-LHC</u>\*, <u>e-VLHC</u>\*, <u>up</u>??

Linac-Ring type:

THERA\*, e-RHIC\*, <u>QCD Explorer</u>, <u>"NLC"-LHC</u>, <u>CLIC-VLHC</u> + γ options

Linac-Linac type:
 TESLA, GLC, <u>CLIC</u>
 + γ options

<u>Underline</u> denotes  $\sqrt{s} > 1$  TeV

\* Snowmass 2001 Working Group M5 on Lepton-Hadron Colliders

"NLC" denotes 0.5 TeV CM energy linear collider

The development of the resolution power of the experiments exploring the inner structure of matter over time from Rutherford experiment to CLIC×VLHC



# REVIEWS

- B.H. Wiik, "Recent Developments in Accelerators", Plenary Talk at EPS-HEP 93, p. 739 (22-27 July 1993, Marseille)
- S. Sultansoy, "Four Ways to TeV Scale", Ankara 97 Workshop (9-11 April 1997), Turk. J. Phys. 22 (1998) 575; hep-ex/0007043
- R. Brinkmann et al., "Linac-Ring Type Colliders: Fourth Way to TeV Scale", DESY-97-239 (1997); physics/9712023
- S. Sultansoy, "The Post-HERA Era: Brief Review of Future Lepton-Hadron and Photon-Hadron Colliders", DESY-99-159 (1999); hep-ph/9911417
- A.K. Ciftci et al., "A Brief Review of Future Lepton-Hadron and Photon-Hadron Colliders", hep-ex/0106082
- E. Arik and S. Sultansoy, "Turkish Comments on `Future Perspectives in HEP`", hep-ph/0302012
- S. Sultansoy, "Linac-Ring Type Colliders: Second Way to TeV Scale", Talk at EPS-HEP 03 (17-23 Jul 2003, Aachen, Germany), Eur Phys J C 33, so1, s1064s1066 (2004); hep-ex/0306034

Two parameters are the most important ones from the physics point of view: center-of-mass energy and Iuminosity

# Center-of mass energy (in TeV)

Colliders	рр	ee	ер	ep/ee
2010's	LHC	NLC	NLC*LHC	
√s	14	0.5 → 1	3.7 → 5.3	≈ 6
2020's	VLHC	CLIC	CLIC*VLHC	
√s	200	$3 \rightarrow 5$	$34 \rightarrow 46$	≈10

Therefore, the critical issue is luminosity !

Additional yp, eA, yA and FEL yA options vp option A.K. Ciftci et al., Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 365 (1995) 317 eA option Z.Z. Aydin et al., ICHEP 96, p.1752 γA option A.K. Ciftci, S. Sultansoy and O. Yavas, NIM A 472 (2001)72FEL γA option

H. Aktas et al., NIM A 428 (1999) 271

## Main problems

Bunch spacing do not coincide: ns at JLC/NLC and CLIC, 200 ns at TESLA, 25 ns at LHC etc

Low collision frequency

Beam sizes do not coincide

Possible solutions: change LC (or/and PS) bunch structure, special e-linac design etc

**Main limitations** 

Linac's beam power (~ f<sub>rep</sub>·n<sub>b</sub>·n<sub>e</sub>)
 Proton bunch brightness (n<sub>p</sub>/ε<sub>p</sub>)

In addition, we must keep under control a lot of parameters such as  $\Delta Q_p,\,t_{IBS}$  etc

# **Brief History**

P.L. Csonka and J. Rees, Nucl. Instr. Meth. 96 (1971) 149

D. Berley et al., "e-p Accelerator Subgroup Summary", Snowmass 1982, p.303

> 100 GeV e-linac on SSC,  $\sqrt{s} = 2.8 \text{ TeV}$ , L =  $10^{32} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ But P<sub>e</sub> = 400 MW !

UNK+VLEPP Physics Study Group (1986-1988):

ep option: S. Alekhin et al., IHEP preprint 87-48, Serpukhov 1987 γp option: S. Alekhin et al., Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 6 (1991) 21 see, also, S. F. Sultanov, ICTP preprint IC/89/409, Trieste 1989 M. Tigner, B. Wiik and F. Willeke, 1991 IEEE Particle Accelerator Conference, p. 2910

"TESLA" on HERA, LHC and SSC  $L = 10^{31-32} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$  with  $P_e = 60 \text{ MW}$ 

Ankara group, 1993 –

Ankara Univ & Gazi Univ, see webpage <u>http://bilge.science.ankara.edu.tr</u>

R. Brinkmann and M. Dohlus, DESY-M-95-11 (1995) "dynamic focusing"

International Workshop on Linac-Ring Type ep and γp Colliders (9-11 April 1997, Ankara) Proc. in Turk. J. Phys. 22 (1998) 521-775

## THERA: Electron-Proton Scattering at $\sqrt{s} \approx 1$ TeV

H. Abramowicz et al., in TESLA TDR, v. 6, DESY-01-011, Mar 2001, 62 pp.

The THERA Book, Eds. U. Katz, A. Levy, M. Klein and S. Schlendstedt, DESY-01-123, Dec 2001, 415 pp.

THERA Webpage www.ifh.de/thera

**TESLA on HERA:** 

0.25 TeV × 1.0 TeV 0.4 TeV × 0.4 TeV 0.8 TeV × 0.8 TeV

 $L \approx 10^{31} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ 

LHC and VLHC based ep colliders: e-ring vs e-linac

Y. Islamzade, H. Karadeniz, S. Sultansoy

## LHC based (hep-ex/0207013)

Comparison of LEP\*LHC with the same energy Linac\*LHC  $E_e = 67.3$  GeV and  $E_p = 7$  TeV for both options

L =  $1.2 \cdot 10^{32}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> for LEP\*LHC (E. Keil, LHC Project Report 93, CERN, 1997) vs L  $\approx 10^{32}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> for Linac\*LHC with P<sub>e</sub>  $\approx 34.5$  MW (which correspond to synchrotron radiation power at LEP)

0.5 km "CLIC" or 2 km "TESLA" vs 27 km "LEP"

## VLHC based (hep-ex/0204034)

 $E_e = 180 \text{ GeV}, E_p = 50 \text{ TeV} \text{ and } L = 1.4 \cdot 10^{32} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ for ring option (J. Norem and T. Sen, FERMILAB-PUB-99/347, 1999)}$  $E_e = 250 \text{ GeV}, E_p = 50 \text{ TeV} \text{ and } L = 3 \cdot 10^{32} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ for linac option}$ 

Instead of constructing a 530 km e-ring in VLHC tunnel it seems more wise to construct a 2 km (10 km) e-linac with the same ep parameters

# **QCD** Explorer

21.08.2002 First Meeting on CLIC\*LHC Interface Participants:

A. De Roeck, G. Guignard, D. Schulte, I. Wilson (CERN)

O. Cakir, S.A. Cetin, S. Sultansoy (Turkiye)

14.08.2003 Second Meeting on CLIC\*LHC Interface Participants:

A. De Roeck, G. Guignard, D. Schulte, I. Wilson,

F. Zimmermann (CERN)

E. Arik, O. Cakir, A.K. Ciftci, R. Ciftci, H. Koru, E. Recepoglu, S. Sultansoy (Turkiye)

 $E_e = 70 \text{ GeV}, E_p = 7 \text{ TeV} \text{ and } \sqrt{s} = 1.4 \text{ TeV}$ 

With nominal parameters of CLIC and LHC beams:  $L = 10^{28} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ 

- Even with this low luminosity, QCD Explorer
- will provide unique information which will be crucial for adequate interpretation of the LHC data
- The region of extremely small x<sub>g</sub> = 10<sup>-5</sup>- 10<sup>-6</sup> at sufficiently high Q<sup>2</sup> = 1-10 GeV<sup>2</sup> will be explored. This region is crucial for the understanding of QCD dynamics

With appropriate upgrades of CLIC and LHC beams, a luminosity of 10<sup>31</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and even 10<sup>32</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (optimistic scenario) may be achievable



08.01.2004

#### QCD Explorer Based on LHC and CLIC

#### D. Schulte, F. Zimmermann

Keywords: Linac-Ring Collider, Superbunches, Luminosity, Beam-Beam Interaction, Disruption

#### Table 1: Beam Parameters

parameter	$\operatorname{symbol}$	electrons	protons
beam energy	$E_b$	$75  \mathrm{GeV}$	$7 { m TeV}$
bunch population	$N_b$	$4 \times 10^9$	$6.5 imes10^{13}$
rms bunch length	$\sigma_z$	$35 \ \mu m$ (Gaussian)	9  m (uniform)
bunch spacing	$L_{sep}$	0.66  ns	N/A
number of bunches	$n_b$	154	1
(effective) pulse line density	$\lambda$	$2.0 \times 10^{10} \text{ m}^{-1}$	$2.1 \times 10^{12} \text{ m}^{-1}$
IP beta function	$\beta^*_{x,y}$	0.25  m	0.25  m
spot size at IP	$\sigma_{x,y}$	$11 \ \mu \mathrm{m}$	$11 \ \mu m$
full length of interaction region	l	2 m	L
normalized transv. rms emittances	$\gamma \epsilon_{x,y}$	$73~\mu{ m m}$	$3.75~\mu{ m m}$
collision frequency	$f_{ m coll}$	100 H	Iz
luminosity	L	$1.1 imes10^{31}$ c	${\rm cm}^{-2}{\rm s}^{-1}$
beam-beam shift	$\xi_{x,y}$	N/A	0.004

## **SM Physics Example**

The importance of small x<sub>g</sub> region (at sufficiently Q<sup>2</sup> ~ 10 GeV<sup>2</sup>) exploration for strong interactions corresponds to the importance of the Higgs boson search for electro-weak interactions !!!

	Xa	Detector cuts
1. Fixed Target	10 <sup>-2</sup>	-
2. HERA	10-4	<b>10</b> <sup>-3</sup>
3. e-RHIC	10 <sup>-3</sup>	?
4. THERA	10 <sup>-5</sup>	10-4
5. QCD Explorer	10 <sup>-5</sup>	?
6. NLC*LHC	10 <sup>-6</sup>	?
7. CLIC*VLHC	10-7	?

Low  $x_q$  via ep  $\rightarrow$  Q(bar)+Q+X (Q = c, b) at future ep colliders



Figure 2: The differential cross sections  $d\sigma/d \log_{10} x_g$  for charm ((a) and (c)) and beauty ((b) and (d)) produced in the process of photon–gluon fusion. The cross sections were calculated within NLO QCD for  $Q^2 < 1 \text{ GeV}^2$ . In (a) and (b), the solid and dashed magenta curves show the predictions for THERA operation with an electron energy of 250 GeV and 400 GeV, respectively, and  $E_p = 920$  GeV. The predictions for the HERA case are indicated by the dash-dotted blue curves. In (c) and (d), the predictions for THERA with  $E_e = 250$  GeV are shown with additional cuts  $\theta^{c,b} < 179^\circ$  (solid curves),  $\theta^{c,b} < 175^\circ$  (dashed curves) and  $\theta^{c,b} < 170^\circ$  (dash-dotted curves).

## Advantage of the yp option



Figure 3: Dependence of the differential cross section of (a) charm and (b) beauty production on  $x_g$  in  $\gamma p$  and ep scattering at THERA.

# Second Way to TeV Scale

Colliders	Hadron	Lepton	Lepton-Hadron
2010's	LHC	"NLC"	"NLC"×LHC
√s, TeV	14	0.5	3.7
L, 10 <sup>31</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	10 <sup>3</sup>	10 <sup>3</sup>	1-10
2020's	VLHC	CLIC	"CLIC"×VLHC
√s, TeV	200	3	34
L, 10 <sup>31</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	10 <sup>3</sup>	10 <sup>3</sup>	10-100

# Physics targets and achievable limits (following U. Amaldi in CERN 87-07, pp. 323-352)

"Comparing the physics potentialities of two\* accelerators is a formidable task for at least three obvious reasons:

i) the unknown cannot be predicted;

ii) even after having agreed on a list of 'expected' new phenomena, the relative importance is subjective;

iii) tomorrow's discovery may completely modify the 'relevance' weights given to selected phenomena"

\* LHC (including LEP\*LHC option) and CLIC

Summary of discovery limits for 12 different processes\*

	1987	$\rightarrow$	2003
рр	√s= 16 TeV, L= 10 <sup>33</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	$\rightarrow$	√s= 14 TeV, L= 10 <sup>34</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>
e+e-	√s= 2 TeV, L= (4) 10 <sup>33</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	$\rightarrow$	√s= 0.5 TeV, L= 10 <sup>34</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>
ер	√s= 1.5 TeV, L= 10 <sup>32</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	$\rightarrow$	$\sqrt{s}$ = 3.7 TeV, L= 10 <sup>32</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> + yp option !!

\* Two principal additions during last years:
 extra dimensions (serious)
 infinite number of SUGRA points (curious)

Discovery limits in TeV (rescaled from U. Amaldi 87)









■ Recently, the e\*→eγ signal and corresponding backgrounds are studied in details (O. Cakir, A. Yilmaz and S. Sultansoy, hep-ph/0403307). Results confirm discovery limits given in previous slide. Namely,

~0.5 TeV for ee, ~2.3 TeV for ep and ~1.9 TeV for pp.

Discovery limits for extra dimensions are approximately:

0.5  $\sqrt{s}$  for pp 7-8 TeV at LHC

10  $\sqrt{s}$  for ee 5 TeV at NLC

2.5  $\sqrt{s}$  for ep 7-8 TeV at NLC\*LHC

# Conclusion

## QCD Explorer

- Two scenarios for LHC:
- a) 2007-2012 "discovery period", 2012-... "precision period"
- b) 2012 lumi upgrade, 2013-2015 further "discovery period", 2016-... "precision period"
- Therefore, we need TeV scale ep collider before 2015.
- THERA assumes the use of the HERA proton beam.
- Unfortunately, 2007 is planned as close up date for HERA.
- And QCD Explorer becomes the unique (realistic) opportunity!

## Energy frontier

Concerning direct search for new physics "NLC"-LHC potential exceeds that of "NLC" and is complementary to the LHC.

## Recommendations

"As a result of the workshop, participants came to the point that it will be useful to organize two workshops, one on the machine parameters and the other on the research programs, during the next year" Cited from Ankara 97 Workshop's Conclusion and Recommendations

Linac-Ring type lepton-hadron and photon-hadron colliders require more adequate attention of HEP community

Common ICFA-ECFA-ACFA Study Group on Linac-Ring Type ep, yp, eA, yA and FEL yA Colliders

Second International Workshop on the Linac-Ring Type Lepton-Hadron and Photon-Hadron Colliders in 2005.



# **Turkish State Planning Organization**

and

# **CERN AB Division**

for their support.